UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/592,952	01/19/2007	Sylvia Monsheimer	296033US0PCT	8700
	7590 12/22/201 AK, MCCLELLAND 1	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE ST	<del></del>	SMITH, JEREMIAH R		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22514	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1744		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/22/2011	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/592,952	MONSHEIMER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

		JEREMIAH SMITH	1744	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE RE	PLY FILED 07 December 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. 🛛 Th thi pla a F	e reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on ts application, applicant must timely file one of the following the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notical Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance the periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ing replies: (1) an amendment, aff ce of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) 🛚 b) 🔲	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat	lvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
have bee under 37 set forth i may redu	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706 is of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exterior CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shift (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later to ce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6.07(f). In which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 In sign and the corresponding amount Inortened statutory period for reply origi	36(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropri nally set in the final Offi	te extension fee ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
filii	e Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensions of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was MENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. X TI (a) (b)	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or	sideration and/or search (see NO /);	TE below);	
_	They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11	6 and 41.33(a)).		
	ne amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment	PTOL-324).
	pplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		tina alu fila dana andra a	nt consoling the
	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allon- n-allowable claim(s).	owabie ir submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. A Fo ho Th Cla Cla Cla	or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) we the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving estatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  aim(s) allowed:  aim(s) objected to:  aim(s) rejected:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
	aim(s) withdrawn from consideration: VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. 🔲 Th be	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but cause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and is not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	before or on the date of filing a Nosufficient reasons why the affidate	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	<u>t</u> be entered necessary and
en sh	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a tered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	rercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a ).
	he affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.
11. 🛛 T	the request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	ice because:
	lote the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (For ther:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	ENDRA GUPTA/ visory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1744			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment incorporates limitations into base claim 28 which have not previously been considered together with each of the pending dependent claims; therefore, a new consideration would be required were the amendment entered.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant presents the following arguments.

In Hochsmann, the particles are not melted. This argument as been previously considered and responded to on the record.

The claimed invention utilizes a two component system, while Hochsmann utilizes a three component system including a "moderating agent". However, the claim language does not require a two component system.

The amended claim requires "providing a layer of a pulverant substrate comprises a polymer, wherein the polymer is a homo- or copolymer preferably selected from the group consisting of ...", thereby distinguishing the claimed invention from Hochsmann which utilizes a build material comprised of composite particles having an inorganic core and polymeric coating. However, the quoted section of claim 28 utilizes the transitional phrase "comprises" and is therefore open ended in nature and may include other elements, such as inorganic particle cores.

Hochsmann is silent regarding the use of a material modifier, the wavelength of the radiation source, the use of a laser, and the heating step (b). All of the claimed elements are taught by the cited art as described in detail in the art rejections of record.

Secondary reference Podszun does not teach selective application of the absorber. However, primary reference Hochsman is relied on for the selective application of a material modifier.

The fact that patterning can be achieved by printing an absorber instead of using selective focus is an unexpected result. However, Hochsmann teaches that patterning can be achieved by printing an absorber, therefore, this is not an unexpected result.